

WHC-EP-0772, Rev. 0 UC-604

## Characterization of the Corrosion **Behavior of the Carbon Steel Liner** in Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks

R. P. Anantatmula E. B. Schwenk Westinghouse Hanford Co

M. J. Danielson Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Date Published June 1994

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management



Hanford Company P.O. Box 1970
Richland, Washington 99352

Hanford Operations and Engineering Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-87RL 10930



Approved for Public Release



## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



After an extensive literature review, it has been determined that uniform corrosion, hydrogen embrittlement and microbiologically influenced corrosion are not relevant mechanisms for single-shell tank failure.

Based on the above analysis, the ranking of failure mechanisms for Hanford single-shell tanks in order of decreasing importance is:

- Stress corrosion cracking
- Pitting/crevice corrosion
- Uniform corrosion
- Hydrogen embrittlement
- Microbiologically influenced corrosion.

Other failure mechanisms such as radiation damage, liquid metal embrittlement, galvanic corrosion, intergranular corrosion, creep, erosion corrosion, caustic cracking, selective leaching, wear, and thermal embrittlement were not considered.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

To control or mitigate corrosion, the methods usually employed are material selection, application of coatings, environment modification, and cathodic protection. It is too late for all these options for Hanford single-shell tanks except for environment modification (chemistry adjustment).

The following specific recommendations are made to control corrosion and to assist in the resolution of outstanding unreviewed safety questions. These recommendations are discussed in more detail in Section 5.0; they are specific to the tanks indicated. The tanks are listed in decreasing order of priority for the action under consideration.

- 1. Remove as much liquid as possible by expediting stabilization, then by forced evaporation (if possible) from tanks that are sound and are suspected of having waste that is much more aggressive than the tanks listed below. Options to reduce condensation should also be considered. (Tanks BY-109, BY-102, BX-106, C-102, C-105, C-103, and C-106.)
- Add a corrosion inhibitor (e.g., sodium hydroxide) to tanks that are sound and will not be interim stabilized in the near future and are suspected of having liquids that do not meet tank waste specifications. (Tanks U-103, U-102, U-111, U-106, U-105, U-107, U-108, U-109, S-102, S-111, S-106, S-109, S-108, S-110, S-112, S-103, S-101 and S-107.)
- 3. Install corrosion monitoring probes and/or coupons (stress corrosion cracking) in tanks that are sound but are suspected of having liquids that do not meet tank waste specifications. These tanks are on a lower priority for adding inhibitors because their wastes are not as aggressive as the tanks categorized for inhibitor addition.

  (Tanks SX-105, SX-106, SX-102, SX-101, SX-103, T-110 and T-104.)

in 14 different solutions that simulated various steps in the sludge washing cycle. The pH was adjusted between 9.5 and 10.2 to simulate equilibrium with airborne carbon dioxide. There was a concern that pH at the air/liquid interface would decrease in time by reacting with carbon dioxide in the air with the result the pitting inhibition of hydroxide would be lost. Nitrite at several concentrations was added to each of the solutions until the potentiodynamic tests demonstrated that pitting inhibition was achieved. Four month coupon immersion tests were then carried out at 30, 50, and 60 °C to confirm the potentiodynamic results. A statistical analysis yielded the following equation for nitrite concentration to inhibit nitrate-induced pitting at pHs of 9.5 to 10 for the temperature range of 23 to 60 °C:

$$[NO_2] = 0.038 * [NO_3] * 10^{0.041T}$$
 (Equation 3)

Where

T = Temperature, C

[NO<sub>2</sub>],[NO<sub>3</sub>] = concentration, moles/liter

Table A-2 shows the nitrite/nitrate ratios required to inhibit pitting as calculated from Equation 3.

Table A-2. Calculated Nitrite/Nitrate Ratios for Pitting Inhibition for pH ~ 10.

[NO <sub>2</sub> ]/[NO <sub>2</sub> ]	Temperature, °C
0.33	23
1.6	40
11.0	60

Coupon tests were run in simulated and actual sludge supernate. The pH was periodically measured throughout the four-month tests. The sludge composition was  $0.76 \,\mathrm{M}$  nitrate,  $0.63 \,\mathrm{M}$  nitrite,  $< 0.0056 \,\mathrm{M}$  chloride,  $0.5 \,\mathrm{M}$  free hydroxide, and other minor constituents. Over a four month period, the pH decreased from 13 to 11 for the concentrated supernatant. The pH was still decreasing when the test was terminated. For the test using a 25X dilution of supernate with a nitrite addition, the pH decreased from approximately 12 to a steady-state value of 9.5. These data show that carbon dioxide causes the pH to decrease with time, and the pH enters a regime (pH  $\sim$  10) where the carbon steel will pit.

Elmore (1993) carried out the corrosion testing of carbon steels in simulated tank 8D-2 wash solutions for West Valley Nuclear Services. The major chemical components of the simulated waste are listed in Table A-3.

Table A-3. Target Composition of Tank 8D-2, Third-Stage Wash Simulant.

Component	Concentration, ppm
Nitrate	5600
Nitrite	4700
Sulfate	1900
Chloride	80
Hydroxide	pH>12

Uniform and localized corrosion testing of carbon steel tank materials was carried out at 65.6 and 87.8 °C. After six months vapor phase exposure, pits 12 mils deep were found on coupons. A few pits were observed on the fully submerged specimens; one pit was ~3 mils deep.

To support sludge washing activities, Danielson and Bunnell (1994) carried out a statistically designed waste composition study of carbon steel corrosion behavior in the dilute waste environment. Incipient or shallow pits were usually observed in the vapor phase. One deep pit growing at 40 mpy was discovered. No pitting was observed in the liquid phase.

#### A.2 CREVICE CORROSION

Crevices are formed whenever there is a gap between the underlying metal and an overlying structure exposed to the solution. Examples of crevice-formers include the following: bolted flanges, gaskets, weld splatter, grinding laps, salt crusts, and the meniscus region of a vapor/liquid interface. Crevice corrosion has many similarities to pitting (Claiborne et al. 1985). In particular, the growth stages are believed to be alike. Consequently, environments that result in pitting are also likely to result in crevice corrosion. In practice, the general environment is usually oxygenated while the solution within the crevice gap is depleted in oxygen. As a result, the electrochemical potential in the crevice becomes less anodic (less positive). Since the exterior and interior of the crevice are electrically connected to each other through the metal and the ionically-conducting solution, the exterior electrochemical potential polarizes the crevice anodically; that is, drives the crevice cell into a potential regime that increases the crevice corrosion rate. The local chemistry in the crevice region gradually becomes more aggressive with time and, in turn, supports an even higher corrosion rate. It is the conditions of anodic polarization and

presence of sulfur, phosphate, and irregular regions of attack, identification of MIC is difficult. In the case of carbon steel, sulfur and phosphorus are always present as tramp elements, making this characteristic difficult to interpret. Tubercles on carbon steel are also strong indicators of MIC. A key observation for differentiating the mechanisms is that increasing the temperature decreases the corrosion kinetics associated with MIC while at the same time increasing the corrosion rate from non-MIC causes. The presence of microorganisms in the solution is not indicative of a MIC problem since they are always present. It is the attached, but usually out of sight, colonies that are the most dangerous. Definitive identification of MIC is usually accomplished by duplicating the process in the laboratory. Control of MIC is usually accomplished by cleaning the system followed by the use of biocides such as ozone, chlorine, hydrogen peroxide or surfactants.

The effect of ionizing radiation upon MIC is largely unknown, and it is often assumed that radiation fields that are dangerous to human life would also strongly inhibit or stop microbial growth. However, there is a famous case (Katonak and Hofstetter 1987) of a microbiological bloom (which seriously obscured the water clarity and hampered the cleanup) in the melted core of the Three Mile Reactor, Unit 2. Hydraulic fluid was accidentally leaked into the cooling and shielding water when a hydraulic hose burst, and the microbes used the oil as a nutrient. The radiation levels (TMI 1979) in the recirculating water were determined to be approximately 200  $\mu$ Ci/ml gross activity (predominantly Cs-137). Although radiation levels adjacent to the broken core elements must have been extremely high, no reference can be found supporting the existence of such high radiation levels. The microbes were not identified because most of the effort was devoted to determining the optimal biocide (hydrogen peroxide proved most efficacious) and filter techniques. Microbiologically influenced corrosion was not observed during the period of active microbe growth. Clearly, microbiological growth is possible under ionizing radiation conditions, but the propensity for MIC is completely unexplored.

Danielson and Bunnell (1994) carried out a statistically designed waste composition study of the corrosion behavior of carbon steel in the dilute waste environment to support sludge washing activities. Coupons with evidence of pitting and crevice corrosion were submitted to scanning electron microscopy to evaluate whether certain metabolic products characteristic of microbial life were present (i.e., sulfur and phosphorus). Only one case of localized attack occurred in which MIC might have been involved.

#### REFERENCES

Awad, G. H., and T. P. Hoar, 1975, "The Role of Phosphates in Inhibiting Pitting of Commercial Mild Steel in Chloride-Containing Media." *Corrosion Science*, Vol. 15, pp. 581-588.

- Beavers, J. A., N. G. Thompson, R. N. Parkins, 1985, "Stress-Corrosion Cracking of Low Strength Carbon Steels in Candidate High Level Waste Repository Environments: Environmental Effects." *Nuclear and Chemical Waste Management*, Vol. 5, pp. 279-296.
- Beck, W. J, J. O'M. Bockris, J. McBreen and L. Nanis, 1966, Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, A 2909, 220.
- Bernstein, I. M., R. Garber and G. M. Pressouyre, 1976, "Effect of Dissolved Hydrogen on Mechanical behavior of Metals," in <u>Effect of Hydrogen on Behavior of Materials</u>, Proceedings of an International Conference, Moran, Wyoming.
- Bickford, D. F., J. W. Congdon, and S. B. Oblath, 1986, "Electrochemical Probing of High-Level Radioactive Waste Tanks Containing Washed Sludge and Precipitates," DP-MS-86-178, E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Savannan River Site, Aiken, South Carolina.
- Bieber, G. J., June 1982, "The Stepwise Cracking of Line-Pipe Steels in Sour Environments," Materials Performance.
- Bockris, J. O'M, J. McBreen, and L. Nanis, 1965, Journal of the Electrochemical Society, Vol 112, No. 10.
- Bockris, J. O'M, B. E. Conway, E. Yeager, and R. E. White, 1981, "Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry," Vol. 4, p. 423, Plenum Books, New York.
- Claiborne, H. C., A. G. Croff, J. C. Griess, and F. J. Smith, 1985, Repository

  Environmental Parameters Relevant to Assessing the Performance of High-Level Waste
  Packages, NUREG/CR-4134.
- Cotterill, P., "The Hydrogen Embrittlement of Metals", 1961, Vol. 9. in <u>Progress in Materials Science</u>, Pergamon Press, New York, New York.
- Danielson, M. J. and L. R. Bunnell, 1994, "Sludge Washing Materials Study: The Behavior of Carbon Steel in a Dilute Waste Environment," TWRS PP-94-025, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Divine, J. R., W. M. Bowen, D. R. Mackey, D. J. Bates, and K. H. Pool, 1985, "Prediction Equations for Corrosion Rates of A-537 and A-516 Steels in Double Shell Slurry, Future Purex, and Hanford Facilities Wastes," PNL-5488, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Donovan, J. A., 1977, "Factors Controlling Nitrate-Cracking of Mild Steel," Corrosion 77, San Francisco, California.

### DISTRIBUTION

## Number of Copies

## **OFFSITE**

2	U.S. Department of Energy EM-362, 312 Trevion Bldg. Germantown, MD 20874	
	A. Griffith C. S. O'Dell	
3	Westinghouse Savannah River Company P. O. Box 616 Aiken, SC 29802	
	N. C. Iyer J. E. Marra R. L. Sindelar	
5	U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office	
	G. E. Bishop C. O. Ruud A. B. Sidpara Public Reading Room (2)	R3-72 S7-54 R3-72 H2-53
5	Pacific Northwest Laboratory	
	<ul><li>M. J. Danielson</li><li>J. W. Johnston</li><li>K. E. Noffsinger</li><li>P. A. Scott</li><li>R. E. Westerman</li></ul>	P8-44 K7-34 K5-20 P7-43 P8-44
	Kaiser Engineers Hanford Company	
3	C. H. Brevick L. J. Garvin E. A. Vickery	G7-56 G7-56 G7-56

#### **DISTRIBUTION** (continued)

#### Number of Copies

#### **ONSITE**

Westinghouse Hanford Company 44 R. P. Anantatmula (12) R1-30 N. G. Awadalla R1-30 G. D. Bazinet **S4-53** L. D. Blackburn H5-67 V. C. Boyles R1-49 W. F. Brehm H5-67 W. C. Carlos H5-67 C. W. Dunbar R1-30 D. B. Engelman R1-49 J. H. Haberman R1-30 J. M. Henderson S6-12 S4-54 G. A. Meyer W. C. Miller S4-55 J. L. Nelson R1-30 P. C. Ohl R1-30 S7-14 M. A. Payne S4-58 J. G. Propson T. E. Rainey R4-02 R. E. Raymond R2-54 E. B. Schwenk H5-52 K. V. Scott H5-52 E. J. Shen S4-58 K. G. Squires S6-12 R4-02 R. R. Stickney J. D. Thomson R1-30 G. R. Wilson **S7-14** L8-04 Central Files (2) **EDMC** H6-08 Information Release Administration (3) R1-05

R1-20

**TFIC** 

## **RECORD OF REVISION**

(1) Document Number WHC-EP-0772

1 Of 1

(2) Title

Characterization of the Corrosion Behavior of the Carbon Steel Liner in Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks

CHANGE CONTROL RECORD					
		Authorized for Release			
(3) Revision	(3) Revision (4) Description of Change - Replace, Add, and Delete Pages		Cog. Mgr. Date		
Rev. 0	(7) Insert corrected pages into document	R.P. P. Anantatmula	C. Oh1		
		RP. Amentale 9/13/19	To be alpha		
		7/3/-7	11000 11777		
	·				
			···		
		<del> </del>			

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### DISTRIBUTION (continued)

## Number of Copies

### ONSITE

Westinghouse Hanford Company

R. P. Anantatmula (12)	R1-30
N. G. Awadalla	R1-30
G. D. Bazinet	S4-53
L. D. Blackburn	H5-67
V. C. Boyles	R1-49
W. F. Brehm	H5-67
W. C. Carlos	H5-67
C. W. Dunbar	R1-30
D. B. Engelman	R1-49
J. H. Haberman	R1-30
J. M. Henderson	S6-12
G. A. Meyer	S4-54
W. C. Miller	S4-55
J. L. Nelson	R1-30
P. C. Ohl	R1-30
M. A. Payne	S7-14
J. G. Propson	S4-58
T. E. Rainey	R4-02
R. E. Raymond	R2-54
E. B. Schwenk	H5-52
K. V. Scott	H5-52
E. J. Shen	S4-58
K. G. Squires	S6-12
R. R. Stickney	R4-02
J. D. Thomson	R1-30
G. R. Wilson	S7-14
Central Files (2)	L9-04
EDMC	H6-08
Information Release Administration (3)	R1-05
TFIC	R1-20

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK